

Congress needs to discuss solutions for cutting emissions

Editor:

I'm writing regarding your article "Ordinary Wyomingites are seeking a carbon tax, galvanized by national politics." I really appreciate the article's explanation of the pros and cons of a carbon tax and why distributing the revenue to the public helps shield the public from energy price increases.

Last year, I was fortunate to hear a libertarian policy analyst, Jerry Taylor, discuss climate change policy. His organization, the Niskanen Center, supports national carbon taxation to cut emissions. They also think a carbon tax should be revenue-neutral, but they recommend cutting other taxes and distributing some revenue to low income households to shield them from regressive taxes.

We need Congress to start discussing policy solutions for cutting emissions, instead of just having think tanks, citizens' groups and policy wonks opining. The good news is that 18 Republicans and 18 Democrats have joined a House Climate Solutions Caucus. They'll consider all types of climate solutions.

Why isn't Representative Liz Cheney a member of the Climate Solutions Caucus?

JUDY WEISS, Brookline, Massachusetts

[LINK](#)